

Message

From: LEE, LILY [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=D6085A744F9347E6836C54C0E85B97B2-LLEE06]
Sent: 5/29/2019 6:56:53 AM
To: Amy Brownell (amy.brownell@sfdph.org) [amy.brownell@sfdph.org]
Subject: FW: EPA expedited partial comments on Parcel G Work Plan Addendum
Attachments: 2019-5-27 Parcel G WP Addendum EPA Comments Part 1.pdf

Sorry I used sfgov.org by mistake

From: LEE, LILY
Sent: Tuesday, May 28, 2019 11:56 PM
To: Robinson, Derek J CIV USN NAVFAC SW SAN CA (USA) <derek.j.robinson1@navy.mil>
Cc: Stoick, Paul T CIV USN (USA) <paul.stoick@navy.mil>; Roddy, Elizabeth A CTR USN (USA) <elizabeth.rodny.ctr@navy.mil>; Bercik, Lisa M. <lisa.bercik@aptim.com>; juanita.bacey@dtsc.ca.gov; Reese, Shane@CDPH <shane.reese@cdph.ca.gov>; Singh, Sheetal (CDPH-EMB) <sheetal.singh@cdph.ca.gov>; Chesnutt, John <Chesnutt.John@epa.gov>; Sanchez, Yolanda <Sanchez.Yolanda@epa.gov>; amy.brownell@sfgov.org; Karla Brasaemle (kbrasaemle@techlawinc.com) <kbrasaemle@techlawinc.com>; David Kappelman (Kappelman.David@epa.gov) <Kappelman.David@epa.gov>; Dawson, Jana <Jana.Dawson@TechLawInc.com>
Subject: EPA expedited partial comments on Parcel G Work Plan Addendum

Dear Derek,

Thank you for providing the draft Parcel G Removal Site Evaluation Work Plan Addendum, Radiological Investigation, Survey and Reporting, Former Hunters Point Naval Shipyard, San Francisco, California, Hunters Point Naval Shipyard, San Francisco, California, received April 17, 2019.

We began immediately to review that, and we found missing critical information typically expected in such plans. For example, calculations for minimum detectable concentrations for test instruments are essential to ensure that contamination has not been left behind on the site. We understand that your contractor wants to protect this and other information as proprietary, so we sent a list of information needed as well as EPA's procedures for protecting Confidential Business Information. We appreciate that you have committed to providing this and other requested information soon so that we and other regulatory agencies can complete our reviews.

We understand that you have requested that we discuss any potential issues verbally first before giving final comments in writing. We appreciate the valuable opportunities for dialogue in the informal webinar and conference calls you have organized including the call you have scheduled for May 30, 2019. We still have not discussed air monitoring/sampling and dust control issues, so we requested to move that call earlier to inform our reviews, but I understand that it was difficult to reschedule, especially given the holiday timing.

We originally committed to expedite comments to maintain forward movement. Therefore, we agreed on a conference call May 16, 2019, that the most efficient plan would be to expedite

delivery of Part 1 of EPA's comments on this document based on the information we have received thus far. These are attached. After the May 30, 2019, conference call and after we have received and reviewed forthcoming technical details, we will submit Part 2 of EPA's comments.

If you have any questions about the attached comments, please call me at (415) 947-4187 or e-mail me at lee.lily@epa.gov.

Lily